

NLNAC

National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission, Inc.

NURSING EDUCATION REPRESENTATIVES

CAROL EASLEY ALLEN, EdD, RN
Chair, Department of Nursing
Oakwood University
Huntsville, Alabama

MARLENE P. BACON, PhD, RN
Department Chair, Nursing
Assistant Dean, College of Science & Health
East Valley University
Orem, Utah

LINDA K. COCKRILL, MSN, RN
Program Leader, Health & Medical Sciences
Central School of Practical Nursing
Norfolk Technical Center, Norfolk Public Schools
Norfolk, Virginia

DALA J. DWYER, MS, RN
Director, School of Nursing and Educational Services
Springfield Regional School of Nursing
Community Mercy Health Partners
Springfield, Ohio

ELIZABETH H. MAHAFFEY, PhD, RN
Dean, Nursing & Allied Health
Hinds Community College
Jackson, Mississippi

LINDA NORMAN, DSN, RN, FAAN
Senior Associate Dean for Academic, School of Nursing
Vanderbilt University
Nashville, Tennessee

MARY LOU RUSIN, EdD, RN
Professor & Chair, Nursing Department
Ducem College
Amherst, New York

DEANNA M. STUGGS, MSN, RN, FAAN
Director & Professor of Nursing
New Mexico State University, Carlsbad
Carlsbad, New Mexico

BEVERLY J. WILLIAMS, PhD, RN, ANEF
Dean, Health Sciences
Montgomery County Community College
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania

NURSING SERVICE REPRESENTATIVES

CHRISTINA DIMICHELE, MSN, RN, NEA-BC
Nurse Manager, Faculty
The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

KAREN SHELL, MSN, RN, CNAA, BC, FAAN
Vice President, Nurse Executive
Grant Hospital
Lawrence, Kansas

REBECCA JOHNSON, PhD, CNRN, CNRN-BC
Director of Learning, Development & Technical
Services Administration
Essen, Central Utah System
Denver, Colorado

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVES

DAVID GORMISTED, EdD
Chair
Western Piedmont
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

MARLENE P. BACON, PhD, RN
Assistant Dean, College of Science & Health
East Valley University
Orem, Utah

July 23, 2010

Ann Blankenship, MS, RN
Program Coordinator
Associate Degree Nursing
North Central Texas College
1525 West California
Gainesville, TX 76240-4699

Dear Ms. Blankenship:


This letter is formal notification of the action taken by the National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission at its meeting on June 29-30, 2010. The Board of Commissioners received, reviewed, and accepted the Follow-Up Report of the associate program and affirmed the next accreditation visit for Fall 2015.

Deliberations centered on the Follow-Up Report and the recommendation of the Evaluation Review Panel. (See Summary of Deliberations and Recommendation of the Evaluation Review Panel.)

The Board of Commissioners affirmed the areas needing development as outlined in the enclosed Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel.

On behalf of the Commission, we thank you and your colleagues for your commitment to quality nursing education. By choosing to have accreditation by NLNAC, your nursing program demonstrates a continued interest in having the program measured against the highest national standards of quality in nursing education. If you have questions about this action or about Commission policies and procedures, please write or call me or a member of the professional staff.

Sincerely,



Sharon J. Tanner, EdD, RN
Chief Executive Officer

Enc. Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel

SUMMARY OF DELIBERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE
ASSOCIATE EVALUATION REVIEW PANEL
SPRING 2010 FOLLOW-UP REPORTS

NORTH CENTRAL TEXAS COLLEGE
GAINESVILLE, TX

Accreditation Status

Last Evaluation Visit: Fall 2007
Last Commission Action: February 2008
Continuing Accreditation with Conditions. Fall 2015 with Conditions
Follow-Up Report – Spring 2010
Next Review in 2 years to be based on a Follow-Up Report addressing:

- Standard VII. Educational Effectiveness, Criteria 22 and 23

Reader Recommendation

Reader 1: Accept Follow-Up Report.
Reader 2: Accept Follow-Up Report.
Reader 3: Accept Follow-Up Report.

Evaluation Review Panel Recommendation

Accept Follow-Up Report.

Areas Needing Development by Accreditation Standard

Standard VII. Educational Effectiveness /Standard 6 Outcomes

- Review and revise the expected levels of achievement to ensure all are specific and measurable.
- Review the assessment methods to ensure they are specific to the component being assessed.
- Implement strategies to improve satisfaction survey return rates.